



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/661,696	09/12/2003	David D. Brandt	03AB014C/ALBRP303USC	7375
7590 Susan M. Donahue Rockwell Automation, 704-P, IP Department 1201 South 2nd Street Milwaukee, WI 53204				
EXAMINER BAUM, RONALD				
ART UNIT 2439		PAPER NUMBER		
MAIL DATE 11/17/2010		DELIVERY MODE PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/661,696		BRANDT ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	RONALD BAUM		2439	

All Participants:

(1) RONALD BAUM.

(2) BRIAN STEED.

Date of Interview: 8 November 2010

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: 13:30

/Ronald Baum/
Examiner, Art Unit 2439

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Discussed with applicant's representative - Mr. Steed - that the aspects of the claim(s) missing in order to move prosecution forward deal with the need to have the claims explicitly target the claimed invention to the industrial controller network environment. More succinctly, a security network management system/software embodiment that CAN BE USED IN AN INDUSTRIAL CONTROL environment per se, - given the security limitations as presently claimed - is not patently distinct, such that: (1) the present preamble elements of claim 1, as applied as claim limitations and, (2) aspects of the industrial control protocols detailed in the pending disclosure are needed to be integrated into the pending claims to forward prosecution. The applicant will propose claim language to be considered in potential amending of claims..